

From: [HOPE Bruce](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [csmith@parametrix.com](#); [Earl Hayter/ATH/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: Hybrid Model Comments
Date: 10/01/2007 04:13 PM

As it happens, my meeting in Hermiston is finishing early, so I should be back in the Portland office around 1pm Tuesday. I'll try to connect with this call if I get in at 1pm.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2007 3:42 PM
To: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Cope.Ben@epamail.epa.gov; Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov;
Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; csmith@parametrix.com;
Hayter.Earl@epamail.epa.gov; HOPE Bruce; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Hybrid Model Comments

After hearing back from folks - here is what I propose.

We have a call on Tuesday beginning at 1:00 PDT. We will take advantage of Earl for as long as he is available. Bruce is not available but hopefully this works for everyone else. Burt will not be participating. We will deal separately. Our call-in number will be available (Non- ; access code Non-).

We will schedule a time on Wednesday or Thursday with Bruce to work out specifics on improving the AFT model.

Please let me know if this plan works.

Thanks, Eric

Eric
Blischke/R10/USE
PA/US

10/01/2007 09:34
AM

To
Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US, Kristine
Koch/R10/USEPA/US, Earl
Hayter/ATH/USEPA/US, Carrie Smith
cc
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
hope.bruce@deg.state.or.us, Burt
Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject
Hybrid Model Comments

I would like to set up a call this week to discuss our comments on the hybrid model. I think that the focus of this discussion should be where do we go from here with the AFT model and what data is needed to improve model performance. The comments we received were focused on the AFT portion of the report.

Here is my take on the other portions of the model:

The HST model has gone through a couple iterations prior to its incorporation into the hybrid model. We have provided comments on how to incorporate the Sedflume data into the next iteration. I think some efforts are needed to fix the overbank issue.

The FWM is also moving forward on an independent path. The FWM portion of the hybrid model focused solely on 4,4'-DDD. We will be commenting on the greater FWM as part of our comments on the Round 2 Report.

Please let me know if you can participate this week and when.

Bruce, if you have the time and inclination, we would appreciate your insights on the AFT model.

Burt, you do not need to participate if you are comfortable with focusing our review of the FWM on the version presented in the Round 2 Report.

Thanks, Eric